

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,910 | 12/30/2003 | Kulwinder Dhanoa | 15114H-071400US | 1395 |
| | 7590 11/09/2007 AND TOWNSEND AN | EXAMINER | | |
| TWO EMBAR | CADERO CENTER | LEE, CHUN KUAN | | |
| EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS | SCO, CA 94111-3834 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2181 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | | 11/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Щ

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/749,91 | 0 | DHANOA, KULWINDER | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | n (Mike) Lee | 2181 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2007. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,11-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| - | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,11-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction as | nd/or election re | equirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| , | The specification is objected to by the Exar | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | A) Intensions Commen | (PTO 442) | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | 3) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da |)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther: | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/05/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Rejection of claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are withdrawn. Currently, claims 3-4, 9-10, 15 and 17 are canceled and claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14 and 16 are pending for examination.
- 2. In response to applicant's arguments, on page 9, 2nd paragraph to page 10, 3rd paragraph, regarding the independent claims 1, 7 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) that the combination of references does not teach/suggest the claimed limitations of "... wherein, in response to a received memory access request requiring multiple data bursts over the memory bus, each of said multiple data bursts is assigned by the control logic to a respective buffer of the plurality of buffers in the memory interface, and data from each of said multiple data bursts is stored by the memory interface in the respective buffer, and wherein, for a wrapping memory access request requiring multiple buffers, data required for each of a beginning and an end of the wrapping memory access request are assigned to respective sub-buffers of a single respective buffer by the control logic, the beginning and end data for the memory access request being stored in the respective sub-buffers by the memory interface, the storing of the beginning and end data in a single buffer avoiding the need for an additional data burst to obtain the end data, and wherein the control logic records a

Art Unit: 2181

value of a pointer indicating a first sub-buffer of the single buffer storing the end data, such that the control logic is able to return to the indicated sub-buffer to retrieve the end data from the single buffer..."; applicant's arguments have fully been considered, but are not found to be persuasive.

Please note that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Gray teaches that in response to a received memory access request (Fig. 6, ref. 307, 308) requiring multiple data bursts (Fig. 6, ref. 301-306) (e.g. a request having burst of data transferring for each channel) over the memory bus, each of said multiple data bursts is assigned by the control logic (DMA engine 200 of Fig. 2) to a respective buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 202-209) of the plurality of buffers in the memory interface, and data from each of said multiple data bursts is stored by the memory interface in the respective buffer (col. 2, II. 47-56; col. 8, II. 10-22 and col. 11, I. 59 to col. 12, I. 6), wherein data for the first device (Fig. 3, ref. 221) may be stored in the first device buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 204), data for the second device (Fig. 3, ref. 222) is stored in the second device buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 206) and so on; and as the memory interface's DMA engine regulate the transferring of data by being responsible for providing data to each device, for monitoring the remaining data in the corresponding device buffers, and for provide arbitration functionality to the devices as well as the memory, it would have been obvious for the DMA engine to implementing the assignments.

Art Unit: 2181

Becker teaches a buffer system and method comprising a wrapping memory access request requiring multiple buffers, data required for each of a beginning (e_si_1 of Fig. 4C and e so 8 of Fig. 4D) and an end (e_si_7 of Fig. 4C and e_so_6 of Fig. 4D) of the wrapping memory access request are assigned to respective sub-buffers (e.g. sub 1, sub 2, sub 3, sub 4, sub 5, sub 6, sub 7, sub 8, sub 9, sub 10) of a single respective buffer (e.g. single circular buffer), the beginning and end data for the memory access request being stored in the respective sub-buffers, the storing of the beginning and end data in a single buffer (e.g. single circular buffer) avoiding the need for an additional data burst to obtain the end data (col. 4, II. 59-65; col. 5, II. 6-19 and col. 8, I. 65 to col. 9. I. 12), wherein the wrapping memory access request is implemented as the data request associated with the transferring of the stream of data accesses the first frame and the last frame located on the single circular buffer, resulting in the wrapping around of the circular buffer (Fig. 4C-4D), as the ES memory buffer (Fig. 2A and Fig. 4C) is utilized for the inputting data stream and the AS buffer (Fig. 2B and Fig. 4D) is utilized for outputting data stream, and wherein a first sub-buffer (e si 7 of Fig. 4C and e so 6 of Fig. 4D) of the single buffer (e.g. single circular buffer) storing the end data, such that enabling the returning to the indicated sub-buffer to retrieve the end data from the plurality of buffers (Fig. 4C-4D; col. 4, II. 59-65 and col. 5, II. 6-19).

Nguyen teaches a FIFO buffer flow regulation system and method comprising wherein the control logic (Fig. 1, ref. 34) records a value of a pointer (recording the pointer value in a channel sequence registers 74-1 and 74-2 of Fig. 2) (col. 5, II. 60 to col. 6, II. 22), wherein the channel sequence registers comprising the input pointer (Fig.

Art Unit: 2181

2, ref. 86-1, 86-2) and the output pointer (Fig. 2, ref. 88-1, 88-2) for pointing to the proper slot for the next input operation and the next output operation respectively.

3. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, on page 10, 2nd paragraph and 4th paragraph, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

The motivation to include <u>Becker</u>'s single circular buffer for buffering the transferring of data into each of <u>Gray</u>'s device buffers (i.e. each of the single respective device buffer is further configured to have the circular buffer with multiple blocks for the transferring of the respective stream of data for each channel) is for the benefit of providing rapid transfer of data and low delay flow coordination between two functional blocks (Becker, col. 1, II. 54-60).

The motivation to include <u>Nguyen</u>'s utilization of the plurality of pointers by the central control into <u>Gray</u> and <u>Becker</u>'s control logic is for the benefit of proper tracking and control regarding the accessing of the circular buffer (<u>Nguyen</u>, col. 5, II. 60-66).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,910 Page 6

Art Unit: 2181

4. As per claims 2, 5-6, 8, 11-12, 14 and 16, dependent claims 2, 5-6, 8, 11-12, 14 and 16 are unpatentable at least due to direct dependency on the rejected independent claims 1 and 7.

I. INFORMATION CONCERNING OATH/DECLARATION

Oath/Declaration

5. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in **37 C.F.R. 1.63**.

II. INFORMATION CONCERNING DRAWINGS

<u>Drawings</u>

6. The applicant's drawings submitted are acceptable for examination purposes.

III. REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gray et al.</u> (US Patent 6,816,923) in view of <u>Becker et al.</u> (US Patent 6,950,884) and <u>Nguyen et al.</u> (US Patent 5,335,326).

Art Unit: 2181

8. As per claims 1, 7 and 13, <u>Gray</u> teaches a memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising:

at least one bus interface (devices interface 250 of Fig. 2-3), each bus interface being for connection to at least one respective device (device 221-224 of Fig. 3) for receiving memory access requests (col. 8, II. 52-63);

a memory interface (Fig. 2-3, ref. 200, 270), for connection to a (external) memory device (Fig. 1, ref. 25 and Fig. 2-3, ref. 210) over a memory bus (Fig. 2-3), wherein the memory interface utilize a list structure to provide the scheduling of data storing in response to the memory access request (Fig. 5-6 and col. 9, II.13-22);

a plurality of buffers (Fig. 3, ref. 202-209) in the memory interface (Fig. 3, ref. 200, 270); and

control logic (DMA engine 200 of Fig. 2), for placing received memory access requests into a queue of memory access requests (col. 10, l. 65 to col. 11, l. 24), wherein the queue of memory access requests comprising the critical request queue and the non-critical request queue for receiving the respective memory access request, and

wherein, in response to a received memory access request (Fig. 6, ref. 307, 308) requiring multiple data bursts (Fig. 6, ref. 301-306) (e.g. a request having burst of data transferring for each channel) over the memory bus, each of said multiple data bursts is assigned by the control logic (DMA engine 200 of Fig. 2) to a respective buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 202-209) of the plurality of buffers in the memory interface, and data from each of

Art Unit: 2181

said multiple data bursts is stored by the memory interface in the respective buffer (col. 2, II. 47-56; col. 8, II. 10-22 and col. 11, I. 59 to col. 12, I. 6), wherein data for the first device (Fig. 3, ref. 221) may be stored in the first device buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 204), data for the second device (Fig. 3, ref. 222) is stored in the second device buffer (Fig. 3, ref. 206) and so on; and as the memory interface's DMA engine regulate the transferring of data by being responsible for providing data to each device, for monitoring the remaining data in the corresponding device buffers, and for provide arbitration functionality to the devices as well as the memory, it would have been obvious for the DMA engine to implementing the assignments.

Gray does not expressly teach the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising:

wherein, for a wrapping memory access request requiring multiple buffers ..., and

wherein the control logic records a value of a pointer \dots .

Becker teaches a buffer system and method comprising a wrapping memory access request requiring multiple buffers, data required for each of a beginning (e_si_1 of Fig. 4C and e_so_8 of Fig. 4D) and an end (e_si_7 of Fig. 4C and e_so_6 of Fig. 4D) of the wrapping memory access request are assigned to respective sub-buffers (e.g. sub_1, sub_2, sub_3, sub_4, sub_5, sub_6, sub_7, sub_8, sub_9, sub_10) of a single respective buffer (e.g. single circular buffer), the beginning and end data for the memory access request being stored in the respective sub-buffers, the storing of the beginning

Art Unit: 2181

and end data in a single buffer (e.g. single circular buffer) avoiding the need for an additional data burst to obtain the end data (col. 4, II. 59-65; col. 5, II. 6-19 and col. 8, I. 65 to col. 9, I. 12), wherein the wrapping memory access request is implemented as the data request associated with the transferring of the stream of data accesses the first frame and the last frame located on the single circular buffer, resulting in the wrapping around of the circular buffer (Fig. 4C-4D), as the ES memory buffer (Fig. 2A and Fig. 4C) is utilized for the inputting data stream and the AS buffer (Fig. 2B and Fig. 4D) is utilized for outputting data stream, and

wherein a first sub-buffer (e_si_7 of Fig. 4C and e_so_6 of Fig. 4D) of the single buffer (e.g. single circular buffer) storing the end data, such that enabling the returning to the indicated sub-buffer to retrieve the end data from the plurality of buffers (Fig. 4C-4D; col. 4, II. 59-65 and col. 5, II. 6-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Becker</u>'s single circular buffer for buffering the transferring of data into each of <u>Gray</u>'s device buffers (i.e. each of the single respective device buffer is further configured to have the circular buffer with multiple blocks for the transferring of the respective stream of data for each channel) for the benefit of providing rapid transfer of data and low delay flow coordination between two functional blocks (<u>Becker</u>, col. 1, II. 54-60) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 7 and 13.

Nguyen teaches a FIFO buffer flow regulation system and method comprising wherein the control logic (Fig. 1, ref. 34) records a value of a pointer (recording the

pointer value in a channel sequence registers 74-1 and 74-2 of Fig. 2) (col. 5, II. 60 to col. 6, II. 22), wherein the channel sequence registers comprising the input pointer (Fig. 2, ref. 86-1, 86-2) and the output pointer (Fig. 2, ref. 88-1, 88-2) for pointing to the proper slot for the next input operation and the next output operation respectively.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Nguyen</u>'s utilization of the plurality of pointers by the central control into <u>Gray</u> and <u>Becker</u>'s control logic for the benefit of proper tracking and control regarding the accessing of the circular buffer (<u>Nguyen</u>, col. 5, II. 60-66) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 7 and 13.

9. As per claims 2 and 8, <u>Gray</u>, <u>Becker</u> and <u>Nguyen</u> teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 7 as discussed above, where <u>Gray</u> further teaches the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising wherein, when returning data to the respective device from which a memory access request requiring multiple data bursts over the memory bus was received, data is read out from a first part of the single buffer, then data is read out from at least one other of said buffers, then data is read out from a second part of the single buffer (<u>Gray</u>, col. 12, II. 18-30), wherein the particular device of the plurality of devices (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 221-224) can make request for data every other cycle, therefore data associated with the first device (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 221) is read from the associated device buffer (<u>Gray</u>, device buffer 204 of Fig. 3), then data of the second device (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 222) is read from the associated device buffer (<u>Gray</u>, device buffer (<u>Gray</u>, device buffer 206 of Fig. 3), then returns to the reading the

Art Unit: 2181

associated device buffer (<u>Gray</u>, device buffer 204 of Fig. 3) of the first device (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 221).

- 10. As per claims 14 and 16, <u>Gray</u>, <u>Becker</u> and <u>Nguyen</u> teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 7 as discussed above, where <u>Gray</u> further teach the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising wherein each of the plurality of buffers is a sub-buffer (e.g. each of the plurality of single respective device buffers) (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 204, 206, 208, 209) of a larger memory buffer (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 202) in the memory interface (<u>Gray</u>, Fig. 3, ref. 200, 270).
- 11. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. (US Patent 6,816,923) in view of Becker et al. (US Patent 6,950,884) and Nguyen et al. (US Patent 5,335,326) as applied to claim 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Kuronuma et al. (US Patent 6,859,848).

Gray, Becker and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 7 as discussed above, where Gray further teaches the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising allocating a respective portion of the one of said buffers (Gray, Fig. 3, ref. 204-209) for each of the memory burst (Gray, col. 8, II. 10-22).

<u>Gray</u>, <u>Becker</u> and <u>Nguyen</u> does not expressly teach the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising wherein the control logic

Art Unit: 2181

determines whether a received read access request is a wrapping request which requires multiple memory bursts.

Kuronuma teaches the controlling system and method for sequential access to a SDRAM comprising a detector detecting the number of possible sequential access to the SDRAM associated to a received DMA request (col. 4, II. 27-44), wherein the detection would determine the number of multiple memory burst required by the received DMA request.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time when invention was made to include <u>Kuronuma</u>'s detection of the number of possible sequential access of the SDRAM into <u>Gray</u>, <u>Becker</u> and <u>Nguyen</u>'s control logic for the benefit of providing a relative simple configuration for accessing the memory for multiple sequential memory bursts (<u>Kuronuma</u>, col. 4, II. 15-20) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5 and 11.

12. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. (US Patent 6,816,923) in view of Becker et al. (US Patent 6,950,884) and Nguyen et al. (US Patent 5,335,326) as applied to claim 1 and 7 above, and further in view of "Microsoft Computer Dictionary".

<u>Gray</u>, <u>Becker</u> and <u>Nguyen</u> teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 7 as discussed above.

Gray, Becker and Nguyen does not expressly teach the memory controller system, method and programmable logical device, comprising wherein the memory

'Application/Control Number: 10/749,910 Page 13

Art Unit: 2181

controller is a SDRAM controller, and said memory interface is suitable for connection to a SDRAM memory device over said memory bus.

"Microsoft Computer Dictionary" teaches the utilization of the SDRAM, wherein it is well known by one skilled in the art that SDRAM is a common type of RAM utilized within the computer system (Page 469), wherein the memory controller associated with the SCRAM would obviously be a SDRAM controller.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include Microsoft Computer Dictionary's SDRAM into Gray, Becker and Nguyen's memory (Gray, Fig. 3, ref. 210) for the benefit of that SDRAM can run at a higher clock speed ("Microsoft Computer Dictionary", Page 469) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 6 and 12.

Art Unit: 2181

IV. CLOSING COMMENTS

Conclusion

a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P. 707.07(i):

a(1) CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14 and 16 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

b. DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-0671. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM to 5PM.

IMPORTANT NOTE

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,910 Page 15

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 30, 2007

Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee Examiner Art Unit 2181

ALFORD KINDRED
SLIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER